

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/187,879 01/27/94 ROBINSON Н UMMC9103A2 **EXAMINER** HM22/0227 PATRICIA GRANAHAN NGUYEN D HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** TWO MILITIA DRIVE LEXINGTON MA 02173 1633 **DATE MAILED:**

Address:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/27/01



(2)

Advisory Action

Application No. 08/187,879

o. App.

Robinson et al.

Examiner

Dave Nguyen

Group Art Unit 1633



ТН	E PERIO	DD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
	a) [expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.	
	date on determi calculat	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of which the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
	period	ant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Sep 5, 2000</u> (or within any for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).	
Ap bu	plicant t is NO	s response to the final rejection, filed on <u>May 30, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X		oposed amendment(s):	
	X wi	Il be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
		Il not be entered because:	
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).	
		they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.	
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
	NO.		
	5570	the state of the succession the following rejection(s):	
	X A	oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): sues under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, with respect tothe breadth of claims encompassing viruses other than	
		IV and SIV.	
_	_	Idea allowable if exhausted in a	
	Newl	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable it submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	
X	for al	iffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition lowance because: e reasons of record	
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
X	For o	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	
23		ns allowed: NONE	
		ns objected to: NONE	
	Clain	ns rejected: 44-46, 50, 51, 62-64, 68-70, 74 and 78-89	
	Ciain	The Theo not been approved by the Evaminer	
	_	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).	
¥	Othe	Inturior Summey (paper No. 42) artached Works	
		Inturior Summey (paper No. 42) attached Down Down AU 163>	